

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/751,507	HAGELSTEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tim Heitbrink	1722	

All Participants:

(1) Tim Heitbrink.

(2) Jason Eisenberg.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 22, 23, 24, 25

Prior art documents discussed:

of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Eisenberg agreed to the change to claim 1 as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)